

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. SUN-P6353.CON 9756 10/616,161 07/08/2003 **David Curbow EXAMINER** 03/01/2004 7590 WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP MARC COLEMAN, MARTHE Y Third Floor ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113 3661

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			A 8K
	7	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/616,161	CURBOW ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Marthe Y Marc-Coleman	3661
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	·		
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to Application Serial No. 10/616,161 filed on October 8, 2003 in which claims 1-36 are presented for examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161

Art Unit: 3661

3. Claims 1-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,694,259. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claim of the application encompasses the claims of the patents because it is broader.

Page 3

For example, claims 1 and 5 combined in this application is equivalent to claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,694,259.

It is well settled that the omission of an element, and its function is an obvious expedient if the remaining elements perform the same function as before. *In re Karlson,* 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Also note *Ex parte Rainu,* 168 USPQ 375 (Bd. App. 1969). Omission of a reference element or step whose function is not needed would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cahill et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0099574).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161

Art Unit: 3661

In regard to claim 32, Cahill et al. discloses a method of finding vacant parking stalls comprising: a) a motorist providing a request to a remote service provider for parking availability, said request containing a location of said motorist (see [0047]); b) said service provider polling parking garages nearby said motorist for parking availability (see[0051] and [0057],); c) said parking garages each automatically determining parking availability and reporting same to said service provider (see abstract); and d) said service provider providing parking availability results to said motorist (see [0053], [0057], and [0068]).

In regard to claim 33, Cahill et al. discloses that the method c) comprises: c1) accessing a plurality of digital images, each image associated with a portion of a parking garage and each image covering a plurality of parking stalls (see [0068]); c2) performing computerized image processing on each image to automatically detect vacant parking stalls of said plurality of parking stalls (see [0068]); c3) indexing a map database with information regarding said vacant parking stalls of each image to determine physical locations of vacant parking stalls of said parking garage (see [0068]); and c4) reporting information regarding a portion of said vacant parking stalls of said parking garage(see [0068]).

In regard to claim 34, Cahill et al. discloses that said request of a) is transmitted wirelessly to said service provider (see Fig. 1 and [0037] and [0055]).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161 Page 5

Art Unit: 3661

In regard to claim 35, Cahill et al. discloses that said parking availability results of d) are transmitted to said motorist using a wireless communication channel (see Fig. 1 and [0037] and [0055]).

In regard to claim 36, Cahill et al. discloses that said parking availability results are filtered to be customized to said motorist (see [0041]).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marthe Y Marc-Coleman whose telephone number is (703) 305-4970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,161

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toii-free).

Patent Examiner

Marthe Y. Marc-Gleman Marthe Y. Marc-Coleman

Page 6

February 24, 2004